

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON  
PORTLAND DIVISION

VICKY LYNN,

No. 3:19-cv-00397-JR

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

ALEXANDER STROSS,

Defendant.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Russo issued a Findings and Recommendation [25] on October 7, 2019 in which she recommends the Court grant Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction and Improper Venue [13]. Plaintiff filed timely objections to the Findings and Recommendation. The matter is now before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a *de novo* determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Dawson v. Marshall*, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc).


The Court has carefully considered Plaintiff's objections and concludes that the objections do not provide a basis to modify the recommendation. The Court has also reviewed the pertinent portions of the record *de novo* and finds no error in the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation.

#### CONCLUSION

The Court adopts Magistrate Judge Russo's Findings and Recommendation [25]. Accordingly, Defendant's motion to dismiss [13] is granted and this action is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 11/30/2019

  
MARCO A. HERNANDEZ  
United States District Judge